

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/613,162	MESING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Barbara J. Musser	1733	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Rejected

(1) Barbara J. Musser.

(3) _____.

(2) Carmen Santa Maria.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 November 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-30

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggesting amending the claims to include unidirectional pre-pregs which applicant did in supplemental amendment B. After consultation with a primary, new art was found which resulted in a new rejection.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)